



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/583,561                | 06/19/2006  | Rasmus Breivik       | H0610.0406/P406     | 8888             |
| 24998                     | 7590        | 04/30/2009           |                     |                  |
| DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP     |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 1825 EYE STREET NW        |             |                      | BUSHEY, CHARLES S   |                  |
| Washington, DC 20006-5403 |             |                      |                     |                  |
|                           |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                           |             |                      | 1797                |                  |
|                           |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                           |             |                      | 04/30/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/583,561

**Applicant(s)**

BREIVIK, RASMUS

**Examiner**

Scott Bushey

**Art Unit**

1797

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott Bushey.(3) Bernhard Seitz, Company Rep.(2) Gabriela Coman, Atty.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Muller as previously applied.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A proposed amendment to the claims was presented for discussion, wherein the bluff body within the co-current downflow distribution device was limited to either a fairing or guide vane being provided on top of the legs of the vapor lift tube. A review of the prior art of record indicates that the proposed claims would be allowable thereafter. The Examiner will update all search areas previously searched at the time of formal submission of the amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Scott Bushey/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797